

Smart Contract Audit



Tropykus

Smart Contract Audit

V211208

Prepared for Tropykus • November 2021

1. Executive Summary

2. Assessment and Scope

3. Summary of Findings

4. Detailed Findings

TRO-4 RBTC can be stolen

TRO-5 Blocks per year might lead to wrong interest model

TRO-6 Unhandled math errors

TRO-7 Deposit subsidy might be ignored by CRBTC

5. Disclaimer

1. Executive Summary

In **November 2021**, **Tropykus** engaged [Coinspect](#) to perform a source code review of their Protocol lending platform.. The objective of the project was to evaluate the security of the smart contracts.

Tropykus is a fork of a previously audited project, rLending, which is a fork of the Compound project. A previous version of Tropykus was already audited by Coinspect. This incremental audit focuses on the changes introduced since Coinspect's last review.

The following issues were identified during the assessment:

High Risk	Medium Risk	Low Risk
1	2	1
Fixed	Fixed	Fixed
1	1	1

The high risk issue TR0-4 warns about not verifying the value sent in a transaction. The medium risk issue TR0-5 refers to wrong assumptions made about the RSK blockchain. The medium risk issue TR0-6 and the low risk TR0-7 caution about bad error handling.

2. Assessment and Scope

The audit started on November 15, 2021 and was conducted on the tag v0.2.4 of the git repository at <https://github.com/Tropykus/protocol> as of commit 6f61541e7fbfd68c85e6e7c66d9e8f167a536a44 of **November 14, 2021**.

The aggregated file changes are shown with their respective sha256 hash below

9b4466b38caddb046fdea51416ad38c58417fb9826d24215d89d29048f9a1e6b8	contracts/CCompLikeDelegate.sol
527e4240980a202d28e4fe10f107e0c7852b296fda8a7bacdcfe78519aaf18f1	contracts/CErc20.sol
bf3b9fd86d7d3ba1340ca7a9e4e76d5210392b1c83aef76a606a4b2ffd97727f	contracts/CErc20Delegate.sol
c4488c256dfb38e071dffd7642d03beb491349acfb736f02308d85266add76f7	contracts/CRBTC.sol
857fb7bc87ec044d6fc6bff354f9a65526972827b313da23f07c50f2c0775df7	contracts/CRBTCCompanion.sol
da01bb6d70c4e5507023a3281820d87bdc8d9985a42ce55f32d85e7d82f46e61	
contracts/CRBTCCompanionInterface.sol	
e054c7f837a8707dae835c9e78098dcf0f23baa701a66521782382b844aa23d4	contracts/CRDOC.sol
b5b608e8b9c6c6349aafda3ce4e632d15dc140db6c753fa5ae4dd50aa71562c	contracts/CToken.sol
8f20e6c304df72cb63c15be5394677f7b351e4169436cd73e937dba8e7975256	contracts/Comptroller.sol
0e667da76fe3a26aa03fab5a97c31d66527fbcaebc834f83c026acbf4b584716	contracts/ComptrollerG1.sol
c52d57b7cafcd7ab45ba7ebaa35270c5ec0a61744afce1c12d5ff67a2e58c6c1	contracts/ComptrollerG2.sol
51bfbb8f6a6603a7cee77da13bab31c70b9236671f9ed374896a5ac10ac5d6c	contracts/ComptrollerG3.sol
60fd605e7f3ef05834743181cf63502132fa3e1874316e7530c8249d060c1ec8	contracts/ComptrollerG4.sol
6c26f79ab65cb4fe97be5502cc920931ffe2b542a53e22be8b34f3f047566213	contracts/ComptrollerG5.sol
93a40f2b4784a287b76066091aef9f6edcb93f6f2ea567407ab6eeb1cd1fde5d	contracts/ComptrollerG6.sol
b49f47b93ddbfb965a741de9a49a8d9ead695af11f0ee51360c2b9e56a6b1cda	contracts/Governance/TROP.sol

On December 6, 2021 an update with fixes was submitted for review. The corresponding sha256 hashes of the updated files are shown below.

0cebef1032e555ff9197c403b619347da7eecf71be878039df594f3a00ad15d5	CRBTC.sol
d738e9626f79940156cc442aa7a819472da74948ad8b2f7f6958a44808db8216	CToken.sol
2419423352373dfeff33a5b2870bfcc2ef4fd9cdacebb2d4ba9810045645a4dbd	BaseJumpRateModelV2.sol
502240407f21107952627196f70a985d540a027f677699f2c411729c91959390	HurricaneInterestRateModel.sol
ec62aa919d359d30158a51912b31948cbe5d05d05a3bdce8b1738b6bff725d3f	InterestRateModel.sol
aa9f60fd3cad493181db3235e34cf7729cacd4e8fb378bbfae51dc0763e9ba8d	JumpRateModel.sol
14abaa64a2a9a94b401495c743b288137f55853d6534a4dc55ec1b68348b8ca2	JumpRateModelV2.sol
b416197ac83f95a40059569faacb844400d13be644acfc09fa333bd0abf37e4e	WhitePaperInterestRateModel.sol

The smart contracts are compiled with an outdated Solidity compiler version: 0.5.16. Newer compiler versions include several gas usage optimizations, compile time warnings improvements and bug fixes. For example, a recently published security issue was fixed in Solidity compiler 0.8.4 and affects all previous versions (see [Solidity ABI Decoder Bug For Multi-Dimensional Memory Arrays](#) for more information).

Tropykus is based on rLending and Compound protocol and will be deployed the RSK blockchain. Tropykus implements a new interest model called Hurricane

Interest Rate Model with guarantees of a Minimum Interest Rate provided by a subsidized fund.

The main documentation used is the [whitepaper](#) provided by the Tropykus team. The motivation and details of the Hurricane Interest Rate Model can be found there.

The largest set of changes introduced by Tropykus are for supporting multiple interest rates for the CToken contract and the implementation of the Hurricane Interest Rate Model.

The new version includes:

- Limits on rBTC lending
- Limits on RDOC borrowing
- Reduced smart contract size
- Changed error strings for error codes
- New logic for redeeming and accruing interest when using the Hurricane rate model
- Bug fixes

A fixed block time is assumed by the code, but in the RSK network the average block time is not as stable as in Ethereum and it could change, leading to TR0-5, an issue where the interest rate can be miscalculated.

The changes that added the `repayBorrowAll` function also missed an important validation about the value sent on the CRBTC contract, allowing users to steal funds from the contract (see TR0-4).

It is important to note that limiting minting per address is ineffective as the same user can split the minting desired in any number of addresses.

3. Summary of Findings

Id	Title	Total Risk	Fixed
TRO-4	RBTC can be stolen	High	✓
TRO-5	Blocks per year might lead to wrong interest model	Medium	✓
TRO-6	Unhandled math errors	Low	✓
TRO-7	Deposit subsidy might be ignored by CRBTC	Medium	✓

4. Detailed Findings

TRO-4

RBTC can be stolen

Total Risk
High

Impact
High

Location
contracts/CRBTC.sol

Fixed


Likelihood
High

Description

Attackers can steal rBTC by calling the repaying borrow function without actually returning funds.

When calling the repayBorrowAll function in the CRBTC contract, the contract never checks that the correct amount was sent in the transaction.

The repayBorrowAll function calls the internal repayBorrowFresh method with the repayAmount equals to uint256(-1). The function calls an inherited method to check the transferred amount,

[contracts/CToken.sol]

```
1594 if (repayAmount == uint256(-1)) {
1595     vars.repayAmount = vars.accountBorrows;
1596     vars.actualRepayAmount = doTransferIn(
1597         payer,
1598         vars.repayAmount,
1599         true
1600     );
1601 }
```

But in the implementation the value is not checked.

[contracts/CRBTC.sol]

```
192 function doTransferIn(
193     address from,
194     uint256 amount,
195     bool isMax
196 ) internal returns (uint256) {
197     isMax;
```

```
198     // Sanity checks
199     require(msg.sender == from, "sender mismatch");
200     return amount;
201 }
```

The `msg.value` is never validated through all the `repayBorrowAll` calls.

Recommendation

Check that the funds were sent within the transaction.

Status

Fixed in commit `f00b7d30713f90af9fd7f9872f7a4c7674d579de`

TRO-5

Blocks per year might lead to wrong interest model

Total Risk
Medium

Impact
Medium

Location
contracts/InterestRateModel.sol

Fixed
✓

Likelihood
High

Description

A wrong interest rate might be accrued by an incorrect assumption about the number of blocks per year.

The difficulty adjustment algorithm used by the RSK network does not guarantee an average time between mainchain blocks, it targets a given density of blocks including trunk and ommer blocks.

In RSK, most miners are configured to minimize mining pool bandwidth and create a high number of ommers. This is permitted by design. They can also be configured to minimize the number of ommers, and consume more bandwidth. RSK targets approximately a density of 2 blocks every 33 seconds, and currently one block is an ommer, and the other is part of the trunk.

If miners decide to update their configurations to minimize ommer blocks the average block time may go down to 16.5, making the number of the block unreliable as a time measure.

Recommendation

Use the block time value instead of the block number for calculating the accrued interest.

Status

Partially fixed in commits 3785220a20abb08f0bdcbb93891e8678066456aa and cb40e0a3413b043960e8b1a8ac8acfade9aebefe. Instead of using the block time Tropykus team decided to make the blocksPerYear variable updatable. This is not

a solution per se, but allows the admins of the network to solve the issue through monitoring and updating this value.

Additionally `setBlocksPerYear` does not emit any event. This makes it difficult for users to track changes on the value that might be significant for them.

TRO-6**Unhandled math errors**

Total Risk
Low

Impact
Low

Location
contracts/CToken.sol

Fixed


Likelihood
Medium

Description

The CarefulMath and Exponential libraries provide methods for safely performing math operations similar to OpenZeppelin SafeMath library but with different error handling. Where the SafeMath lib reverts, the CarefulMath lib returns an error.

In the tropykusInterestAccrued function all errors returned by CarefulMath and Exponential are ignored.

[contracts/CToken.sol]

```
508 function tropykusInterestAccrued(address account)
509     public
510     view
511     returns (
512         MathError,
513         uint256,
514         uint256,
515         uint256,
516         uint256
517     )
518 {
519     SupplySnapshot storage supplySnapshot = accountTokens[account];
520     uint256 promisedSupplyRate = supplySnapshot.promisedSupplyRate;
521     Exp memory expectedSupplyRatePerBlock = Exp({
522         mantissa: promisedSupplyRate
523     });
524     (, uint256 delta) = subUInt(
525         accrualBlockNumber,
526         supplySnapshot.suppliedAt
527     );
528     (, Exp memory expectedSupplyRatePerBlockWithDelta) = mulScalar(
529         expectedSupplyRatePerBlock,
530         delta
531     );
532     (, Exp memory interestFactor) = addExp(
533         Exp({mantissa: 1e18}),
534         expectedSupplyRatePerBlockWithDelta
535     );
536     uint256 currentUnderlying = supplySnapshot.underlyingAmount;
537     Exp memory redeemerUnderlying = Exp({mantissa: currentUnderlying});
538     (, Exp memory realAmount) = mulExp(interestFactor, redeemerUnderlying);
```

```

539     (, uint256 interestEarned) = subUInt(
540         realAmount.mantissa,
541         currentUnderlying
542     );
543     (, Exp memory exchangeRate) = getExp(
544         realAmount.mantissa,
545         supplySnapshot.tokens
546     );
547     return (
548         MathError.NO_ERROR,
549         interestFactor.mantissa,
550         interestEarned,
551         exchangeRate.mantissa,
552         realAmount.mantissa
553     );
554 }

```

There is a similar issue in the `tropykusExchangeRateStoredInternal` function where errors are ignored. The caller even assumes that the only possible error is when there is no supply as shown below.

```

456 (error, exchangeRate) = tropykusExchangeRateStoredInternal(
457     msg.sender
458 );
459 if (error == MathError.NO_ERROR) {
460     return (MathError.NO_ERROR, exchangeRate);
461 } else {
462     return (MathError.NO_ERROR, initialExchangeRateMantissa);
463 }

```

Coinspect did not confirm the exploitability of these issues, but improper error handling increases security risks.

Recommendation

Do not ignore errors that could lead to security issues.

Status

Fixed at commit `c892950eeb78045a08c429ff2f2cf7f73bb0cb12`.

TRO-7**Deposit subsidy might be ignored by CRBTC**

Total Risk
Medium

Impact
High

Location
contracts/CRBTC.sol

Fixed


Likelihood
Low

Description

If a user deposits a subsidy in the CRBTC contract it might be ignored.

The `addSubsidy` function calls the `addSubsidyInternal` which can return an error when accruing interest, but the caller does not revert appropriately.

[contracts/CRBTC.sol]

```
232 function addSubsidy() external payable {
233     _addSubsidyInternal(msg.value);
234 }
```

[contract/CToken.sol]

```
2215 function _addSubsidyInternal(uint256 addAmount)
2216     internal
2217     nonReentrant
2218     returns (uint256)
2219 {
2220     uint256 error = accrueInterest();
2221     if (error != uint256(Error.NO_ERROR)) {
2222         // accrueInterest emits logs on errors...
2223         return fail(Error(error), FailureInfo.ADD_SUBSIDY_FUND_FAILED);
2224     }
2225
2226     (error, ) = _addSubsidyFresh(addAmount);
2227     return error;
2228 }
```

In the case that an error is returned in line 2223, the money transferred within the transaction is kept in the contract, but without the side effects of `_addSubsidyFresh`.

Recommendation

Revert on error in `addSubsidy`.

Status

Fixed at commit `f2b2e5b773b29c15af6b76e432b4bc5f32541873`.

5. Disclaimer

The information presented in this document is provided "as is" and without warranty. The present security audit does not cover any off-chain systems or frontends that communicate with the contracts, nor the general operational security of the organization that developed the code.