Contest dates

Submission period:

30-05-21 00:00 — 20-06-21 23:59 UTC

Judging period:

21-06-21 00:00 — 27-06-21 23:59 UTC

Goal

Main purpose: A catalog of works by winners of Free TON contests. In the future, this catalog will be filled with all the works that have practical value and won prizes. A fast and easy website which allows you to access the necessary information about the contest easily and joyfully.

Optional: Adding works outside of the prize places, but with practical value.

Requirements

- The website has easy navigation by categories, search by keywords. There should be different categories to demonstrate the functionality of the site. Structure (eg. SubGov->Contest->Works). Tags: optional.
- 2. The language of the catalog is English.
- 3. User-friendly, simple and intuitive UI / UX. Adaptive design for all types of devices.
- 4. The catalog contains at least 10 content units (1 content unit = 1 contest including the works of the winners of this contest with link to PDF / or other files).
- 5. The catalog is located on a domain / subdomain on the Internet and is available for viewing.
- 6. Admin panel: 1. Add contests, add works 2. Manage users, roles, permissions.
- 7. The sources on GitHub are presented, or the solutions used (CMS, plugins, etc.) are listed. The license is specified in the solutions used. For example: GNU Public License.

All winners provide the files of the website upon request.

Design and style

- You can use the "classic" logo and style of Free TON. 1
- You can use the options offered in the Identity contest. 1
- You can offer your own version of the logo and style for the catalog.

In terms of the logo and style, freedom and creativity are allowed.

The main thing is the functional result: a convenient and fast website.

Rewards

1st place	15 000 TON (7 500 + 7 500)
-----------	----------------------------

For Top-3, vesting for two months in equal parts of 50/50. Only submissions with an average score equal to or more than 4.0 can get a reward.

Jury rewards

- 5000 TONs will be divided equitably between all jurors who vote and provide feedback based on their votes' quantity and quality. Both voting and feedback are mandatory to collect this reward.
- The percentage of tokens awarded to the jury will be distributed based on the number of votes each juror casts.
- For example, if one juror votes 50 times and another juror votes 5 times, the juror who votes 50 times will get 10 times more tokens than the juror who votes 5 times.
- Feedback is mandatory in order to collect any rewards.

Voting

- Jurors will vote for your submission. Jurors whose team(s) intend to participate in this contest by providing submissions of their own lose their right to vote in this contest. Otherwise, the vote of such a member of the jury is not taken into account.
- Each juror will vote by rating each submission on a scale of 1 to 10 or can choose to reject or abstain.
- Jurors should provide feedback to submissions.
- Duplicate, sub par, incomplete or inappropriate submissions will be rejected.
- Each judge takes into account the evaluation criteria.

Evaluation criteria

The criteria are necessary to identify the quality of the work and transparency of the judging. The maximum possible score is 10. It consists of the following components.

- 1. Minimum of 10 content units: 0 1 point. (0 points if there is less content.)
- User-friendly interface, easy to search and navigate: 0 2 points. (0 there is no convenient navigation or search capability. 1 - There is a convenient menu and search. 2 - Convenient to use and easy to find information on any device).
- 3. Adaptive design: 0 2 points. (0 the design is not adaptive, 1 not adaptive on all devices. 2 looks good on any device).
- 4. Page load speed: 0 2 points. <u>Google page speed service</u>. (50+ for desktop and mobile devices 2 points. Less than 50 1 point. Less than 25 0 points.)
- 5. The subjective opinion of the jury: 0-3 points. Evaluate the design, usability, speed, and overall impression.

Procedural reminders to all contestants

- All submissions must be accessible for the Jury to open and view, so please doublecheck your submission. If the submission is inaccessible or does not fit the criteria described, jurors may reject the submission.
- Contestants must submit their work before the closing of the filing of applications. If not submitted on time, the submission will not count.
- All submissions must contain the contestant's contact information, preferably a Telegram username by which jurors can verify that the submission belongs to the individual who submitted it. If not, jurors may reject your submission.
- The content published in the forum and the provided PDF file should not differ, except for formatting. Otherwise, jurors may reject the submission.
- If your submission has links to the work performed, the content of those links must have the contestant's contact details, preferably a Telegram username, so jurors can match it and verify whom the work belongs to. If not, jurors may reject your submission.
- Multiple submissions:
 - Each contestant has the right to provide several submissions if they contain different approaches to the contest problem's solving. However, if works are not unique enough or differ just in insignificant details, jurors may reject such repeating submissions.
 - If the contestant wants to make an additional submission that overrides the one previously published, he must inform the Jury about this fact and indicate the correct revision to assess. In this case, only the indicated work will count. If the contestant hasn't indicated the updated submission as the correct one, only the first one will count, the Jury will reject all the others.