

בס"ד. טבלת-סיכום לקו"ש חלק כ"א, משפטים ב' – המקום אשר הכינותי

The Explanation	Questions on Rashi	The Rashi	
Main point: Rashi is addressing the question: What did this preparation consist of? Where do we see any change? (On the contrary, Eretz Yisrael was filled with 7 Nations and would be so for quite some time).		אשר הכנתי	1
Therefore, Rashi explains that there was no preparation done, it was only a designation, like an invitation (that does not entail any action).	Isn't it obvious from the Passuk that it was prepared for the Yidden?	אשר זמנתי לתת לכם	2
This explains why Rashi changes to "designate," because it wasn't actually a "preparation," and why he elaborates, "I designated it to give it to you at a later time."	Why does Rashi not just say "for you"? And why does Rashi change from "prepared" to "designated"?		3
Rashi is still bothered by this because usually (and in precedent cases) Hachana means actual change.	What is lacking in the First explanation, that compels Rashi to bring another explanation?	זהו פשוטו,	4
Therefore Rashi brings a second explanation, which explains that there was a change.		ומדרשו	5
The change happened above, where hashem moved His Beis Hamikdash to be aligned with the (place of the) Beis Hamikdash below.		אל המקום אשר הכנתי כבר מקומי כנגדו,	6
The above explanation is insufficient. How is it possible that the "change" above would not impact the below. Therefore, Rashi brings that there are other Pesukim about this. Implying that the change was at a much earlier time, and nothing new happened at this time.	What does this sentence add to the overall explanation of rashi?	וזה אחד מן המקראות	7
Rashi is explaining that there was a "change." This took place above, and therefore Rashi mentions it first.	Why does Rashi change from the Tanchuma, where the Beis Hamikdash below is mentioned first?	שאומרים שבית המקדש של מעלה	8
Rashi omits the word Beis Hamikdash, to imply that even when we don't see the holiness of the Beis Hamikdash below, there is still a space above aligned to it.	There is a version of Rashi that omits the word Beis Hamikdash (of below). What is the significance of that omission?	מכון כנגד של מטה	9
According to the First explanation, that no action was taken, that would imply that verbal designation carries significance.  Whereas according to the second explanation, that change was accomplished, that would imply that verbal designation alone, carries no significance.	<b>Halachic relevance:</b>  Is Verbal designation significant?		10