

BH. Sicha Pinchas Vol 28, 2

28:2 – “Instruct children of Israel to offer my sacrifice... BEMOADO (in its time)”

**RASHI: In its time – Each day is the set time for the TAMID - daily ‘constant’ offering.**

Question: OBVIOUSLY!? As in 28:3 – “twice daily always.”

1. Mizrachi: Even in impurity - as in Rashi Behaaloscha on Pesach offering  
(But Rashi would’ve stated this clearly)
2. Even Ezra: Not before dawn; not after sunset

Why does Rashi reject those meanings?

In Behaaloscha: Rashi bothered by “BEMOADO” - EXTRA WORD

Here: Rashi bothered by “BEMOADO” - MEANING of WORD.

Precludes both above commentaries.

**Rebbe: Daily ‘constant’ offering has an appointed time: Each day!**

**What’s the relevance?**

RASHI: In its time – Each day is the set time for the TAMID - daily ‘constant’ offering.

Rebbe: Daily ‘constant’ offering has an appointed time: Each day!

What’s the relevance?

**Rebbe’s explanation: To teach law of Make-Up requirement for Daily offering:**

Approach #1: BEMOADO - Daily Offering has NO make-up requirement

Verses of Derivation:

**Shabbat:** (28:10) “Offering of each Shabbos on its own Shabbos.”

RASHI: One might assume if I missed one Shabbos I should bring two next Shabbos;  
says Torah: Its day has passed; its offering is cancelled.

**Rosh Chodesh:** (28:14) “offering of each Month in its Month.”

RASHI: If day has passed, its offering is cancelled.

**Festivals:** (Emor 23:37) “festival offerings, each day on its day.”

RASHI: If day has passed, its offering is cancelled.

**What about Daily offering?!** Doesn’t need derivation; it’s obvious from BEMOADO

BUT: BEMOADO is part of introduction to ALL offerings!? Yet they have derivation verses?!

RASHI: In its time – Each day is the set time for the TAMID - daily 'constant' offering.

Rebbe: Daily 'constant' offering has an appointed time: Each day!

**Rebbe's explanation: To teach law of Make-Up requirement for Daily offering:**

Approach #1: BEMOADO - Daily Offering has NO make-up requirement.

BUT: BEMOADO is part of introduction to ALL offerings!? Yet they have derivation verses?!

Approach #2: BEMOADO – All offerings have no MAKE-UP OBLIGATION (*gavra*);

Regarding PAY-UP REQUIREMENT (*cheftza*):

Shabbos, Rosh Chodesh, Festivals: NO (as per Verses of Derivation)

Daily offering: YES (possibly)

Approach #2: BEMOADO – All offerings have no MAKE-UP OBLIGATION (*gavra*);

Regarding PAY-UP REQUIREMENT (*cheftza*):

Shabbos, Rosh Chodesh, Festivals: NO (as per Verses of Derivation)

Daily offering: YES (possibly)

**EXPLAINS RASHI DISCREPENCY in derivative verses:**

**Shabbat:** (28:10) “Offering of each Shabbos on its own Shabbos.”

RASHI: One might assume if I missed one Shabbos I should bring two next Shabbos; says Torah:

Its day has passed; its offering is cancelled.

**Rosh Chodesh:** (28:14) “offering of each Month in its Month.”

RASHI: If day has passed, its offering is cancelled.

**Festivals:** (Emor 23:37) “festival offerings, each day on its day.”

RASHI: If day has passed, its offering is cancelled.

Why not spell out same for Festivals?

Verses teach regarding PAY-UP REQUIREMENT (*cheftza*)

Obviously cannot carry over year to year.