

## The Community

12550 Biscayne Boulevard · Suite 310 · North Miami, Florida 33181 (305) 892-1234 · Chabadnmiami@gmail.com

Weekly Newsletter Published by The Jewish Center - Chabad of North Miami

Rabbi Avrohom Lipszyc

Vol. 26, No. 23

## Rabbi's Article

Just Don't Get All Moved!

This week's Torah-portion discusses the laws of impurity that can befall a person. Among them is the impurity caused by a discharge. The verses state (-Leviticus 15:12), "And an earthenware vessel which the man with the discharge will touch, shall be broken. And any wooden vessel shall be rinsed in water." Upon which Rashi (-Link) quotes the words, "And an earthenware vessel which the man with the discharge will touch," and comments, "One might think that even if he touches it from the outside... as is taught in Torath Kohanim (-Link), 'Which is the 'he touches' of the whole vessel? When he moves it."

In order to understand this we are going to need to explore some categories and laws of impurities. The *Source*: Dead body, bodily discharges, or dead rodent. The *Recipient*: Person or vessel. The *Contact*: Touching, sitting/laying, or moving. Our case is speaking of: *Source*: discharge, Recipient: earthenware, and *Contact*: touching. Now, let us understand what and why Rash is commenting on this case.

Previously we were taught (ibid 11:32), "But any earthenware vessel, into whose <u>interior</u> any of them (dead creatures) falls." Here, Rashi comments: "An earthenware vessel becomes unclean only through its inner space." Meaning, that specifically with the earthenware, impurity is not transferred through touching, but through the source entering within the inside space of the vessel. Hence, Rashi is dealing with our verse stating, "And an earthenware vessel which the man with the discharge will <u>touch</u>," and explains this touching means moving.

However, being that Rashi's commitment is to (-Rash, Genesis 3:8), "But I have come only [to teach] the simple meaning of the Scripture," what forces Rashi to define touch as move? Especially, since we can simply say that in the first verse (-11:32), we are speaking of a more lenient source of impurity (dead rodent; doesn't impurify through sitting/laying), while our verse (-15:12) is speaking of a stricter source of impurity (discharge; does impurify through sitting/laying), hence it makes sense to say that even though the dead rodent only impurifies an earthenware through entering into its inner space, nevertheless, impurity from a discharge impurifies an earthenware even through touching its outside! Now, the Torat Kohanim, which Rashi himself illudes to, brings a proof from a fortiori (-Link) from a dead body (the strictest impurity), which doesn't impurify an earthenware by touching it from the outside, how much more so, that a discharge impurity doesn't. However, Rashi does not bring this fortiori. So, why does Rashi not simply accept that in our verse, touch means simple touching, without defining it to mean moving?

The answer is that Rashi is bothered our verse being redundant, especially the words, "which the man with the discharge will touch." Verse 7 states, "Anyone who touches the flesh of the man with a discharge... he shall remain unclean," and then verse 11 states, "Whomever the man with the discharge touches, without having rinsed his hands... he shall remain unclean." The Talmud finds this redundancy telling us that it must be teaching us a totally different law, however, Rashi feels that in, "the simple meaning of the Scripture," the addition of the detail of the hands (revealed organs) needing to be immersed in a purifying bath (but not any hidden organs), justifies the repetition of the entire verse. However, in our verse, where we again repeat the law of touching the one who had a discharge, with no <u>new</u> detail, Rashi is forced to say that there is a whole new detail in the law of touching an earthenware, which is the law of moving ("he touches' of the whole vessel: he moves it").

The Spiritual Lesson: Earthenware represents our body ("And G-d formed man from the earth"), and we are taught that, "touching from the outside," our physical pursuits of eating, drinking, etc, to the overindulgence point of a discharge (spiritual ailment), as long as we have within us the humility of being an <u>earth</u>enware, he will not become impurified Only when one allows himself to descend to the point of being, "he (discharge) touches of the whole vessel," does he need his <u>external</u> egocentric will "shall be broken," in order to reveal his eternal inner purity.