Rabbi's Article III

Holy, Chosen or Both

On the verse in our *parsha* (-Maasei; Numbers 33:53), "You shall clear out the Land and settle in it, for I have given you the Land to occupy it," there are opinions (Nachmanides; -<u>Link</u>) who count as one of the 613 Mitzvot (-Positive Precept #4) to live in Israel, and that because of this mitzvah (-Kesubot 110b), "He who leaves her (Israel) is as if he is serving idols." Maimonides (-<u>Link</u>), on the other hand, does not count this as one of the 613 Mitzvot, although, he defines the law to live in Israel, even stating (-Laws of Kings, Chapter 5, Law 9), "At all times, a person should dwell in Eretz Yisrael even in a city whose population is primarily gentile, rather than dwell in the Diaspora, even in a city whose population is primarily gentile, rather than dwell in the Diaspora, even in a city whose population for other lands as it is forbidden to leave the chosen land for the Diaspora, it is also forbidden to leave Babylon for other lands as it states (-Jeremiah 27:22): 'They shall be brought to Babylon and there they shall be until I take heed of them... and restore them to this place."' So, Maimonides gives a verse as the reason for this law, while Rashi (-<u>Link</u>; ibid, 111a, d''h Kach Ossur) gives the logical reason of, "Because over there are yeshivot (schools of Torah-study) continuously disseminating Torah." The legal difference between Maimonides and Rashi would be concerning today, when the Torah Center of our people isn't in Babylon, does the prohibition still apply (Maimonides, because of the verse), or not (Rashi, because the reason is gone).

What does Maimonides mean with, "Just as it is forbidden to leave the chosen land... forbidden to leave Babylon?! He is expressing that the <u>reason</u> it is forbidden to leave Babylon is the same as for leaving leave Israel. Hence, according to Maimonides, what is the reason that it is forbidden to leave Israel?

<u>In general</u> there are two differences between Israel and all other lands: (i) (-Tanchuma, Re'eh 8), "Cherished is the Land of Israel, which the Holy One, blessed be He, <u>chose</u> her." (ii) The fact that the Land of Israel is a <u>holy</u> <u>land</u>." Hence, the two connections between the Jewish People --who are (i) <u>chosen</u>, and (ii) <u>holy--</u> with the Land of Israel. Therefore, there are two contexts to the prohibition of a Jew leaving Israel: (i) Leaving the <u>holy</u> <u>land</u>, which is connected with the <u>mitzvot</u> that exist <u>only</u> in the Land of Israel, and (ii) leaving the land which G-d <u>chose</u> for His <u>chosen</u> nation. Maimonides is telling us, "Just as it is forbidden," because the Land of Israel is <u>chosen</u> by G-d for his <u>chosen</u> nation, "it is also forbidden," for the duration of exile, because G-d <u>chose</u> that "They shall be brought to <u>Babylon</u> and <u>there</u> they shall be until I take heed of them." This means, that Rashi defines the land of Israel's being chosen <u>because</u> the Jewish people (and their Torah Canter) are there, while Maimonides defines the Jewish peoples being there, <u>because</u> it (Land of Israel, and during exile, Babylon) was chosen by G-d. Therefore, according to Rashi, one may say that if another land becomes the Torah Center of our people, the prohibition of leaving Babylon would carry over to that land, while according to Maimonides, the verse's defining Babylon as the <u>chosen</u> place for the <u>entire</u> duration of exile remains. However, needles to say, the aspect of Israel being a <u>holy</u> land does not transfer to Babylon.

Nevertheless, just as we find that one may leave Israel in order to earn a living, and in order to study Torah, so too, one may leave Babylon for these reasons, hence, we find in the Talmud, that the very teacher (Shmuel) of the sage that said the law (Rabbi Yehudah, *in the name of Shmuel*!) left from Babylon to Israel in order to study there Torah. However, if one's Torah-study style is aligned with the style of study in Israel, hence, he continues to grow there, he may stay there indefinitely, while, if one's study style is more aligned with the Babylonian study style --like Shmuel's was-- hence, Shmuel returned to Babylon, where the very teachings he received in Israel reached their truest depths for him, in his innate Babylonian style of Torah-study.

These two virtues of the Land of Israel --that it is (i) *chosen* and (ii) *holy--*, exist within the relationship between G-d and the Jewish people, as well. G-d <u>chose</u> the Jewish people, and the Jewish people are <u>holy</u> because we are connected to G-d's Torah and Mitzvot. The different between these two virtues is that our being *holy* is not about our *essence*, but about G-d <u>giving</u> us Torah and Mitzvot. However, G-d's <u>choosing</u> the Jewish nation, speaks of, not a logical relationship, due to any virtue that we may have that other nations don't (such as Torah, Mitzvot and its holiness), but rather, of an *essence* relationship, which is unconditional, for it isn't built on any condition. This is the true meaning of *choosing*. Hence, our sages teach us (-Zohar Vol III, 73a), "*There are <u>three</u> knots that bind one to another, Israelites to Torah, and Torah to the Holy One, blessed be He..."* However, this is just <u>two</u> knots ((ii) Israelites to Torah and (ii) Torah to G-d)? There is also, however, the (third) *knot* between the Israelite and G-d, <u>directly</u>, in G-d *choosing* him unconditionally, --and not just the *knot* through Torah and Mitzvot.

Nevertheless, there is a virtuous point to our relationship with G-d, based on our being *holy*, through G-d's Torah and Mitzvot, over our unconditionally being *chosen* by G-d. Our being *chosen* by G-d has nothing to do with <u>us</u>, but is all about <u>G-d's choosing</u> us, therefore, even after our being *chosen* by G-d, one cannot see in a clear recognizable fashion our being *chosen*, for it is connected to our *essence*, and hence, is not making a change in our outward character or behavior. However, our *holiness* through Torah and Mitzvot is in itself a virtue that is open and recognizable within us. And therefore, it is through t connection with G-d of our *holiness* of Torah and Mitzvot that reveals to us our *essence* in which G-d has *chosen*. Hence, you first have the *two knots* which connect us to G-d *through* the *holiness* of Torah and Mitzvot, which <u>then</u> reveal to us the *third knot* of G-d's unconditionally *choosing* our *essence*.

So too, it is with the Land of Israel (unlike Babylon), in which there is <u>both</u>, the being chosen and the being holy, in which the holiness of the Land of Israel, in its special mitzvot, reveal the G-d's choosing the essence of the Land of Israel.