

Likkutei Sichos Source Sheet

Volume 17 | Tzav | Sicha 1

.N

1. ויקרא ו':א'-ב'

וַיְדַבֶּר ה' אָל־מֹשֶׁה לֵאמְר: צֵוְ אֶת־אַהַרוֹ (וְאֶת־בָּנֵיו לֵאמֹר זֹאת תוֹרַת הָעֹלֶה הַוֹא הָעֹלֶה עַל מוֹקְדָה עַל־הַמִּזְבֶּח כָּל־הַלַּוְלָה עַד־הַבּלֶקר וָאֵשׁ הַמִזְבָּח תִּוֹקָד בִּוֹ:

Vayikra 6:1-2

Hashem spoke to Moshe, saying: Command Aharon and his sons thus: This is the ritual of the burnt offering: The burnt offering itself shall remain where it is burned upon the altar all night until morning, while the fire on the altar is kept going on it.

2. ויקרא ו':ז'

וזאת תורת המנחה הקרב אתה בני־אַהרן לפני יהוה אל־פני המזבח:

Vayikra 6:7

And this is the ritual of the meal offering: Aharon's sons shall present it before Hashem, in front of the altar.

3. ספרא, צו, פרק א ז'

ר' יהודה אומר "זאת" "היא" "העלה" – הרי אלו מיעוטים. פרט לנשחטה בלילה, ושנשפך דמה, ושיצא דמה חוץ לקלעים. ר' שמעון אומר אין לי אלא כשרה; מנין לשנשפך דמה, ושנשחטה בלילה, ושיצא דמה חוץ לקלעים, ולהלן והיוצא והטמא, והנשחט חוץ לזמנו וחוץ למקומו, ושקבלו פסולים וזרקו את דמו, והניתנים למטה שנתנם למעלה, והנתנים למעלה שנתנם למטה, והנתנים בפנים שנתנם בחוץ, והניתנים בחוץ שנתנם בפנים, והפסח והחטאת ששחטם שלא לשמן? תלמוד לומר "זאת תורת העלה" – בפנים אחת לכל העולים שאם עלו – לא ירדו.

Sifra, Tzav, Chapter 1:7

R. Yehudah says: "This," "It," "the burnt-offering." These are (three terms of) exclusion — to exclude: an animal that was slaughtered at night, one whose blood was spilled out, and one whose blood was brought outside the curtains, (in which cases, if it was brought up to the altar, it is taken down). R. Shimon says: "burnt-offering" — This implies one that is fit. Whence is it derived (that the following, if they were brought up are not taken down?): one that was allowed to remain overnight (without being sacrificed); one (whose blood or devoted portions) went outside (the curtains); one that became tamei; one that was slaughtered outside of its (authorized) time or place; one whose blood was received or sprinkled by those unfit (for this service); those (such as burnt-offerings and peace-offerings), (whose blood is) to be applied below (the red line), which (i.e., the blood of which) was applied above, and those (such as sin-offerings), (whose blood is) to be applied above, which was applied below; or those which were to be applied outside (the Temple court), which were applied inside; and a Pesach or a sin-offering which were not specifically slaughtered as such. (Whence is it derived that if the foregoing were brought up they are not to be taken down?) From "This is the law of the burnt-offering" (olah, lit., "which goes up:) — There is one law for all (offerings) that go up (on the altar); if they go up, they are not to be taken down.

4. ספרא, צו, פרשה ב

"וזאת תורת המנחה" – לבית עולמים. "זאת" – אינה נוהגת בבמה. "תורת המנחה" – תורה אחת לכל המנחות שיהיו טעונות שמן ולבונה. וכי מנין יצאו? מכלל שנאמר (ויקרא ב, א-ג) "ויצק עליה שמן ונתן עליה לבונה..והנותרת מן המנחה לאהרן ולבניו" – יכול אין טעונה שמן ולבונה אלא מנחות ששיריהן נאכלים!... מנחות שאין שיריהן נאכלין מנין? תלמוד לומר "תורת המנחה" – תורה אחת לכל המנחות שיהיו טעונות שמן ולבונה.

Sifra, Tzav, Section 2

(Vayikra 6:7) ("And this is the law of the meal-offering: The sons of Aharon shall bring it near before the L-rd in front of the altar.") "This is the law of the meal-offering" — for the eternal house (the Temple), i.e., one law obtains in the Temple as it does in the tabernacle (the mishkan). "This (is the law"): It does not apply to a bamah (a temporary altar). "the law of the meal-offering": There is one law for all meal-offerings, that they require oil and frankincense, (even the meal-offering of the Cohanim, and of the high-priest, which is entirely burnt). Whence did they (oil and frankincense) leave (the general ruling, that they must be reincluded)? Because it is written (Vayikra 2:1): "and he shall pour oil upon it and he shall put frankincense upon it ... (Vayikra 2:3) and what is left from the meal-offering shall be for Aharon and his sons," I might think that only meal-offerings whose remainders are eaten (by the Cohanim) require oil and frankincense, but those which are not eaten, do not. Therefore, it is written "the law of the meal-offering" — There is one law for all meal-offerings, that they require oil and frankincense.

.5 ספרא, צו, פרשה ד א'

"זאת תורת החטאת" "זאת" – אינה נוהגת בבמה. "תורת החטאת" – תורה אחת לכל חטאות שיהיה דמם טעון כיבוס. וכי מאין יצא?! מכלל שנאמר (ויקרא ו, יט-כ) "הכהן המחטא אֹתה יאכלנה..ואשר יזה מדמה..תכבס", יכול אין לי אלא חטאת החצונית שיהיה דמם טעון כבוס; חטאת פנימית מנין? ודין הוא! ומה אם קדשי קדשים – ששוו לחטאת החיצונית למריקה ולשטיפה –

לא שוו לכיבוס, חטאת הפנימית – שלא שוו למריקה ולשטיפה – אינו דין שלא ישוו להם לכיבוס?! תלמוד לומר "תורת החטאת" – תורה אחת לכל חטאת שיהא דמם טעון כיבוס.

Sifra, Tzav, Section 41

(Vayikra 6:18) ("Speak to Aharon and his sons, saying: This is the law of the sin-offering. In the place where the burnt-offering is slaughtered, there shall the sin-offering be slaughtered, before the L-rd; it is holy of holies.") "This is the law of the sin-offering": This (the sin-offering) does not obtain on a bamah (a temporary altar). "the law of the sin-offering": There is one law for all sin-offerings, that their blood (if it sprinkled onto a garment) requires washing. — Now where is it excluded (from washing that we need a verse to include it)? — Because it is written (Vayikra 6:19): "The Cohein who offers it as a sin-offering shall eat it" and (Vayikra 6:20): "and what shall be sprinkled of its blood upon a garment ... shall be washed," I would think that only outer sin-offerings, (which are eaten by the Cohanim) require washing, but not inner sin-offerings, (which are burnt). And (what is more) it would follow a fortiori, viz.: Now if holy of holies (guilt-offerings), which are similar to outer sin-offerings in requiring scouring and rinsing (of the vessels in which they have been cooked), do not require washing (of garments), then inner sin-offerings, which are not similar (to them) in requiring scouring and rinsing, (not being eaten and not being cooked), how much more so should they not require washing. It is, therefore, (to negate this) written "the law of the sin-offering": There is one law for all sin-offerings, that their blood requires washing.

6. ספרא, צו, פרשה ה'

[א] "זאת תורת האשם" – לבית עולמים. 'זאת' – אינה נוהגת בבמה. "תורת האשם" – תורה אחת לכל אשמות שיהיה דמם ניתן למטה. וכי מאין בא? מכלל שנאמר (ויקרא יד, יג) "כי כחטאת האשם הוא לכהן" – מה חטאת דמה ניתן למעלה אף אשם יהיה דמו ניתן למעלה... תלמוד לומר "וזאת תורת האשם...ואת דמו יזרק" – לרבות כל אשמות ואשם מצורע שיהא דמם ניתן למטה.

Sifra, Tzav, Section 5 1

(Vayikra 7:1) ("And this is the law of the guilt-offering; it is holy of holies.") "This is the law of the guilt-offering": for the Temple. It does not obtain on a bamah (a temporary altar). "the law of the guilt-offering": There is one law for all guilt-offerings (even that of a leper), that their blood is applied below (the red line on the altar). Now where is it excluded (from such application, that we need a verse to include it)? — Because it is written (in respect to the guilt-offering of a leper (Vayikra 14:13): "For, as the sin-offering, so is the guilt-offering to the Cohein," (I would think that just as the blood of a sin-offering is applied above (with the Cohein's finger on the corners of the altar), so, the blood of this (guilt-offering); it is, therefore, written, (to negate this,) "the law of the guilt-offering" (including the guilt-offering of the leper). (Vayikra 7:2) ("In the place where they slaughtered the burnt-offering shall they slaughter the guilt-offering; and its blood shall he sprinkle on the altar roundabout.") "and its blood shall he sprinkle": All guilt-offerings, including that of a leper, are herein subsumed, for the application of their blood below (the red line).

7. ספרא, צו, פרשה ו א'

מנין לעשות זמן בבמה כזמן אהל מועד? הואיל ואמרה תורה הלן ישרף והיוצא ישרף – מה היוצא אינו נוהג בבמה אף הלן לא ינהוג בבמה!... והלא דין הוא! ומה העוף – שאין המום פוסל בו – זמן פוסל בו, קדשי במה – שהמום פוסל בהם – אינו דין שיהא זמן פוסל בהם?! לאו! מה לעוף, שאף על פי שאין המום פוסל בו, זמן פוסל בו שכן הזר פוסל בו! תאמר בקדשים שהואיל שהמום פוסל בהם שיהא הזמן פוסל בהם – שכן אין הזר פוסל בהם! הואיל ואין הזר פוסל בהם – לא יהא זמן פוסל בהם! תלמוד לומר "תורת זבח השלמים" – לעשות זמן בבמה כזמן אהל מועד.

Sifra, Tzav, Section 6

Whence is it derived that the time (for eating offerings) of the bamoth (temporary altars, when such altars were permitted), is the same as that of the time (for eating them) in the tent of meeting (i.e., two days and one night for peace-offerings and a day and a night for thank-offerings)? Since Scripture states that lan, an offering that remains overnight (without being eaten in its prescribed time), is to be burnt, and that an offering that becomes tamei is to be burnt — just as tamei is unfit in a bamah, so, lan is unfit in a bamah. Or, go in this direction: lan is unfit, and yotzei (an offering leaving its prescribed bounds) is unfit (in the tent of meeting) — just as votzei does not obtain in a bamah, (for which there are no prescribed bounds), lan, too, does not obtain in a bamah! But does it not follow a fortiori (that lan obtains in a bamah), viz.: If a bird, which is not rendered unfit (as an offering) by a blemish, is rendered unfit by lan, bamah offerings, which are rendered unfit by a blemish — how much more so should they be rendered unfit by lan! — No, why is it that a bird, even though it is not rendered unfit by a blemish is rendered unfit by lan? Because (sacrifice by) a zar (a non-Cohein) renders it unfit. But would you, therefore, say of bamah offerings that because a blemish renders them unfit, lan should render them unfit? (This is not so,) because a zar does not render them unfit. And because a zar does not render them unfit, lan should not render them unfit! It is, therefore, written (Vayikra 7:11): "And this is the law of the sacrifice of peace-offerings ... (Vayikra 7:12) If for thanksgiving he shall offer it, etc." (i.e., there is one law for all offerings, whether in the tent of meeting or in a bamah) — equating the time (and the lan) factor in a bamah with that in the tent of meeting.

۲.

צ. רש"י על ויקרא ו':ב'

זאת תורת העלה וגו'. הֲרֵי הָעִנְיָן הַזֶּה בָּא לְלַמֵּד עַל הָקְטֵר חֲלָבִים וְאַבָּרִים שַׁיְהֵא כָּשֵׁר כָּל הַלַּיְלָה, וּלְלַמֵּד עַל הַפְּסוּלִין אֵי זֶה אִם עָלָה יַנְאָבָלוּ פְסוּלִין, שָׁאָם עָלוּ לֹא יֵרְדוּ (שם): יֵרֵד, וָאֵי זֵה אָם עָלַה לֹא יֵרָד, שֶׁכֶּל תּוֹרָה לַרְבּוֹת הוּא בָּא, לוֹמֵר — תּוֹרָה אַחַת לְכָל הָעוֹלִים, וַאֲפָלוּ פְסוּלִין, שָׁאָם עָלוּ לֹא יֵרְדוּ (שם):

Rashi on Vayikra 6:2

THIS IS THE LAW OF THE BURNT OFFERING: [SUCH BURNT OFFERING SHALL REMAIN ON THE FIRE-PLACE UPON THE ALTAR ALL NIGHT] — This paragraph (vv.1—2) is intended to teach, with reference to the burning of the fat-portions and limbs of sacrifices that it is permissible during the whole night (Megillah 21a); and to teach regarding disqualified sacrifices, which of them, if already brought up on the altar, must be taken down, and which, if brought up, need not be taken down. The latter case may happen, because the term, תורה wherever it occurs in Scripture as an introduction to a group of laws (cf. Vayikra 6:7, 18; 7:1,11 etc.) is intended as an all-inclusive term (to include all of the class mentioned); here it is intended to tell us: One law applies to all animals that may be brought up on the altar, even certain disqualified ones — that if these have once been brought up on the altar they shall not be taken down again (Sifra, Tzav, Chapter 1 7; Zevachim 27b).

ינ':ז' על ויקרא ו':ז'

וזאת תורת המנחה. תוֹרָה אַחַת לְכָלָן — לְהַטְעִינָן שֶׁמֶן וּלְבוֹנָה הָאֲמוּרִין בָּעִנְיָן, שָׁיֶכוֹל אֵין לִי טְעוּנוֹת שֶׁמֶן וּלְבוֹנָה אֶלָא מִנְחַת יִשְׂרָאֵל שִׁמֶן וּלְבוֹנָה הָאָרָא נִקְנִים המנחה. תוֹרָה אַהִיא בָּלִיל מִנַּיִן? תַּ"ל תוֹרַת (ספרא):

Rashi on Vayikra 6:7

מזאת תורת המנחה AND THIS IS THE LAW OF THE MEAL OFFERING — one law for all meal-offerings (cf. Rashi on v. 2) — making requisite for them "oil" and "frankincense" which are prescribed in the previous section (Vayikra 2:1). This had to be intimated in some way here because I might think that there I have the law that meal offerings require oil and frankincense only if they belong to an ordinary Israelite since it is that alone of which a fistful (קומץ) had to be taken, (for the command to take the קומץ is preceded by the words offering of priests which was entirely burnt (and of which therefore no קומץ was taken; cf. Rashi on v. 15)? Because Scripture states, תורת, "this is the general law of the meal-offering" (Sifra, Tzav, Section 2 3).

٦.

.10 מנחות ע"ב ב'

מתני' ואלו מנחות נקמצות ושיריהן לכהנים מנחת הסלת... ומנחת חוטא ומנחת קנאות

גמרא:ושיריהן לכהנים: מנלן דכתיבא כתיבא ודלא כתיבא כתיב בה (ויקרא ו, ז) וזאת תורת המנחה הקרב אותה בני אהרן וגו' והנותרת ממנה יאכלו אהרן ובניו...

Menachot 72b

MISHNA: And these are the meal offerings from which a handful is removed and the remainder of the offering is eaten by the priests: The meal offering of fine flour... and the meal offering of a sinner; and the meal offering of jealousy, brought by a sota.

Gemara: The mishna teaches: And their remainder is eaten by the priests. The Gemara asks: From where do we derive this *halakha*? The Gemara challenges the question: That which is written explicitly, is written. In the case of several meal offerings, including the gift meal offering brought by an individual, the Torah clearly states that the priests eat the remainder. And with regard to that which is not written explicitly, it is written of it: "And this is the law of the meal offering: The sons of Aharon shall offer it before Hashem...And he shall take up from it his handful...and shall make the memorial part of it smoke upon the altar....And the remainder of it shall Aharon and his sons eat" (Vayikra 6:7–9). These verses establish the principle that the priests eat the remainders of meal offerings, even where the Torah does not state this explicitly. Therefore, it is unnecessary to ask for a source.

11. ויקרא ה':י"ג

וָכָפֵר עַלַיו הַכֹּהֶן עַל־חַטַאתוֹ אֲשֶׁר־חַטַא מַאָחַת מֵאֱלֵה וָנָסְלַח לוֹ וָהַיָּתָה לַכֹּהֵן כַּמִּנְחַה:

רש"י

והיתה לכהן כמנחה. לְלַמֵּד עַל מִנְחַת חוֹטֵא שָׁיִהְיוּ שְׁיָרֶיהָ נֶאֱכָלִין, זָהוּ לְפִי פְשׁוּטוֹ; וְרַבּוֹתֵינוּ דָּרְשׁוּ: "וְהָיְתָה לַכֹּהֵן" — וְאִם חוֹטֵא זָה כֹהֵן הוּא, תָהֵא כִּשְׁאַר מִנָחַת נִדָבַת כֹהֵן, שָׁהִיא בִּכַלִיל תִּהְיֵה לֹא תַאֲכֵל (ספרא):

Vayikra 5:13

Thus the priest shall make expiation on his behalf for whichever of these sins he is guilty, and he shall be forgiven. It shall belong to the priest, like the meal offering.

Rashi

AND IT SHALL BE THE PRIEST'S, JUST AS A MEAL-OFFERING — This is intended to teach with regard to the meal-offering of a sinner (i. e. a meal-offering brought as an expiation for the sins mentioned above) that what is left of it after the קמץ has been burnt may be eaten by the priests just as the remains of the free-will offering (cf. Vayikra 2:3). This is what the statement means according to its literal sense. Our Rabbis, however, explained והיתה לכהן to imply: that if this sinner be a priest, then it shall be as any other meal-offering brought as a free-will offering by a priest which comes under the law: (Vayikra 6:16) "[For every meal-offering of the priest] shall be wholly burnt; it shall not be eaten". (The words are to be construed thus: היתה לכהן), but if it be a priest's, היתה לכהן) then it shall be exactly like any voluntary meal-offering that he brings)

ה.

12. ויקרא ז':י"א-י"ב

וְזָאת תּוֹרַת זָבַח הַשְּׁלָמִים אֲשֶׁר יַקְרָיִב לַיהנֵה: אָם עַל־תּוֹדָה "יַקְרִיבֶנּוֹ וְהִקְרִיִב | עַל־זֶבַח הַתּוֹדָה חַלְּוֹת מַצּוֹת בְּשִׁמְן: מַצּוֹת מִשְׁחִים בַּשָּׁמֵן וָסַלֶת מִרְבֵּלֶת חַלֹּת בִּלוּלִת בַּשָּׁמֵן:

Vayikra 7:11-12

This is the ritual of the sacrifice of well-being that one may offer to Hashem: If he offers it for thanksgiving, he shall offer together with the sacrifice of thanksgiving unleavened cakes with oil mixed in, unleavened wafers spread with oil, and cakes of choice flour with oil mixed in, well soaked.

.1

.13 ויקראו':י"ח

דַבֶּר אֶל־אַהָרוֹ וְאֶל־בָנָיו לֵאמֹר זָאת תּוֹרַת הַחַטְּאָת בִּמְקוֹם אֲשֶׁר ּתִשָּׁחֵט הָעֹלָה תִּשְׁחֵט הַחַטְאת רֹפְנָי יְהוָה קֹדֶשׁ קְדָשִׁים הְוא:

Vayikra 6:18

Speak to Aharon and his sons thus: This is the ritual of the sin offering: the sin offering shall be slaughtered before Hashem, at the spot where the burnt offering is slaughtered: it is most holy.

.1

14. ויקרא ה':ו'-י"א

וְהַבִּיא אֶת־אֲשָׁמִוֹ לֵיהנָהׁ עַל חַטָּאתוֹ אֲשֶׁר חָטָא נְקַבָּה מִן־הַצֹּאן כִּשְׁבֵּה אְוֹ־שְׁעִירַת עַזָּים לְחַטָּאת וְכָפֵּר עַלֵּיו הַכֹּהֵן מֵחַטָּאתוֹ: וְאִם־ לֹא תַנְיע יָדוֹ הֵי שָׁהֹ וְהַבִּיא אֶת־אֲשָׁמוֹ אֲשֶׁר חָטָא שְׁתֵּי תֹרִים אְוֹ־שְׁנִי בְנִי־יוֹנָה אֶתָד לְחַטָּאת וְאָתָּד לְעַלָה: וְהַבִּיא אֹתִם אֶל־הַ הַכֹּהֵן וְהַקְרָיב אֶת־אֲשֶׁר לַחַטָּאת רִאשׁוֹנָה וּמָלַק אֶת־רֹאשׁוֹ מִפּוּל עַרְפּוֹ וְלֹא יַבְדִּיל: וְהִזָּה מִדָּם הַחַטָּאת על־קִיר הַמִּזְבֵּח וְהַנְּשְׁאָר בְּהַיּלְה לְוֹ: וְאִם־לֹא בַּדְּם יִמְצָה אֶל-יִסוֹד הַמִּזְבֵּח חַטְּאת הְוּא: וְאָת־הַשְּׁנִי יַעֲשָׂה עֹלֶה כַּמִשְׁפֵּט וְכִפֶּר עַלָּיו הַכֹּהֵן מַחַטְּאתוֹ אֲשֶׁר־חָטָא וְנִסְלַח לְוֹ: וְאִם־לֹא בַּנִּי תְרִים אוֹ לִשְׁנִי בְנִי־יוֹנָה וְהַבִּיא אֶת־קְרְבָּנוֹ אֲשֶׁר חָטָא עֲשִׂירְת הָאֵפָּה סֹלֶת לְחַטָּאת לֹא־יָשִׂים עָלֶיה שָׁמֶן וְלֹא־יִתֻּן עַלֹּה כִּי חַטאת היא:

Vayikra 5:6-11

And he shall bring as his penalty to Hashem, for the sin of which he is guilty, a female from the flock, sheep or goat, as a sin offering; and the priest shall make expiation on his behalf for his sin. But if his means do not suffice for a sheep, he shall bring to Hashem, as his penalty for that of which he is guilty, two turtledoves or two pigeons, one for a sin offering and the other for a burnt offering. He shall bring them to the priest, who shall offer first the one for the sin offering, pinching its head at the nape without severing it. He shall sprinkle some of the blood of the sin offering on the side of the altar, and what remains of the blood shall be drained out at the base of the altar; it is a sin offering. And the second he shall prepare as a burnt offering, according to regulation. Thus the priest shall make expiation on his behalf for the sin of which he is guilty, and he shall be forgiven. And if his means do not suffice for two turtledoves or two pigeons, he shall bring as his offering for that of which he is guilty a tenth of an ephah of choice flour for a sin offering; he shall not add oil to it or lay frankincense on it, for it is a sin offering.

.7

11. ויקראו':ח'

וָהַרִים מְמֶצוֹ בָּקְמִצוֹ מִסֹלֶת הַמִּנָחָה וִמְשַׁמִנֶּה וְאֵת ׁכֶּל־הַלְבֹנֶה אֲשֶׁרְ עַל־הַמִּנְחָה וְהָקְטִיר הַמִּזְבֵּחַ רֵיְחַ נִיחָחַ אַזְכָּרָתָהְּ לַיהוָה:

Vayikra 6:8

A handful of the choice flour and oil of the meal offering shall be taken from it, with all the frankincense that is on the meal offering, and this token portion shall be turned into smoke on the altar as a pleasing odor to Hashem.

16. ויקרא ב':א'

ּוְנָפָשׁ כִּי־תַקְרִ״ב קָרְבַּן מִנְחָה ֹלִיהוָה סֹלֶת יִהְיָה קַרְבָּגוֹ וְיָצַק עָלֶיֹהָ שָׁמֶן וְנָתַן עָלָיָה לְבֹּנֵה:

Vayikra 2:1

When a person presents an offering of meal to Hashem, his offering shall be of choice flour; he shall pour oil upon it, lay frankincense on it.

17. ויקרא א':א'

וַיַּקרָא אֱל־מֹשֶׁה וַיִּדַבֶּר יִהוָה אֶלִיו מֵאְהֵל מוֹעֶד לְאמֹר:

רש"י

ויקרא אל משה. הַקּוֹל הוֹלֵךְ וּמַגִּיעַ לְאָזְנָיו וְכָל יִשְׂרָאֵל לֹא שׁוֹמְעִין; יָכוֹל אַף לְהַפְּסָקוֹת הָיְתָה קְרִיאָה, תַּ"ל וַיְדַבֵּר — לְדבּוּר הָיְתָה קְרִיאָה הַקּילוֹת הַמָּיעַ לְאָזְנָיו וְכָל יִשְׂרָאֵל לֹא שׁוֹמְעִין; יָכוֹל אַף לְהַבְּיוֹנן בֵּין פָּרָשָׁה וּבֵין עִנְיָן לְעִנְיָן; קַ"וָ לְהָדִיוֹט לְמֹשֶׁה לְהַתְבּוֹנֵן בֵין פָּרָשָׁה וְבִין עִנְיָן לְעִנְיָן; קַ"וָ לְהָדִיוֹט הַלּוֹמֵד מִן הַהַדִיוֹט:

Vayikra 1:1

Hashem called to Moshe and spoke to him from the Tent of Meeting, saying.

Rashi

ויקרא אל משה AND HE CALLED UNTO MOSHE — This implies that the Voice went on and reached his (Moshe's) ears only but all the other Israelites did not hear it). One might think that for the subsections there was also such a call! It, however, states, "[And Hashem called unto Moshe] and spoke (וידבר) [to him]", thus intimating that a דבור, a complete section had (was preceded by) a call (e. g., in our text chapters 1—4), but not the subsections. And what purpose did these subsections serve (i. e., why are the larger sections broken up into smaller ones)? To give Moshe an interval for reflection between one division and another and between one subject and another — something which is all the more necessary for an ordinary man receiving instruction from an ordinary man.
