

EFFICACY & SAFETY: MINI-PCNL VS STANDARD PCNL

<u>TP Chang</u>¹, SL Tsang¹, S Chun¹, MSY Mak¹, WPL Hung¹, TF Wong¹, HL Wong¹, CF Tsang¹, TCT Lai¹, Y Na¹, CH Cheng², SK Chu², BSH Ho¹, ATL Ng¹

Division of Urology, Department of Surgery,
The University of Hong Kong, Queen Mary Hospital, Hong Kong
Division of Urology, Department of Surgery, Tuen Mun Hospital,
Hong Kong

Objective:

Percutaneous nephrolithotomy (PCNL) is performed for renal stone >2 cm

Results:

- Demographics were comparable.
- Mini PCNL has a smaller stone size.
- Is mini PCNL a safer alternative?
- This study compared the efficacy and safety profiles of mini PCNL versus standard PCNL.

Patients & Methods:

- Multi-centre: Queen Mary Hospital, Tung Wah Hospital, Tuen Mun Hospital, Pok Oi Hospital
- From January 2017 to March 2023
- Stone size: <400 mm²
- Analyzed patient demographics, stone

- Mini PCNL showed a longer operation time (129 vs. 113 minutes, P<0.001) but a shorter length of stay (4.46 vs. 5.69 days, P 0.201).
- Complication rate was lower in mini PCNL (11.7% vs 17.3%, P 0.46) with less haemoglobin drop and creatinine change.
- Stone-free rate were similar. Residual stone was less in mini PCNL (10.6% vs 13.5%, P 0.514)

	Fr <22	Fr ≥22	P-value	
Number	160	193		
Age	58.59	58.85	0.831	
Stone max. diameter	18.35	20.65	<0.001	
Stone size	179.9	220.9	< 0.001	
Table 1. Basic demographics of patients				
	Fr <22	Fr ≥22	P-value	
OT time	128.48	113.13	<0.001	
LOS	4.46	5.69	0.201	
Complication rate	11.7%	17.3%	0.46	
Fever	12.1%	14.6%	0.437	
Hb drop	0.92	1.24	0.01	

and tract number and size, operative outcomes, and complications



Lower: Standard PCNL





Conclusion:

• Mini PCNL is a reliable option to standard PCNL with *comparable effectiveness and safety*.

_					
Cr change	2.97	4.21	0.472		
Need of post op JJ stent	68.4%	25%	<0.001		
Need of post op PCN	56.3%	95.7%	<0.001		
Residual stone	10.6%	13.5%	0.514		
Table 2. Efficacy & Safety profile: Mini vs Standard PCNL					

29TH ANNUAL SCIENTIFIC MEETING 2024