

Hong Kong Urological Association The 27th Annual Scientific Meeting

Kerry Hotel, 38 Hung Luen Road, Hung Hom Bay, Kowloon, Hong Kong 6th November 2022



Abstract No.: MP.1

Comparison between mini PCNL and standard PCNL: A single-centre prospective study

<u>SL Tsang</u>, S Chun, CF Tsang, TCT Lai, ATL Ng, Y Na, HL Wong, BSH Ho, JHL Tsu Division of Urology, Department of Surgery, The University of Hong Kong, Queen Mary Hospital, Hong Kong

Objectives

PCNL has been the gold standard in management of renal stones larger than 2cm. The idea of using smaller PCNL tract size to reduce the risks of complications has been raised. This study aims to compare the efficacy and safety profiles of standard PCNL with mini PCNL.

Patients & Methods

A total of 92 patients with 105 PCNL procedures from 1st August 2016 to 30^{th} August 2021 in Queen Mary Hospital and Tung Wah Hospital were included, 36 with tract sizes of Fr<22 (Group 1) and 69 with Fr≥22 (Group 2). Patient demographics, stone count, size, number of tract(s), tract size, operative outcomes and complications were analysed.

	Fr <22	Fr ≥22	P value
Ν	36 (34.3%)	69 (65.7%)	
First-time	34 (94.4%)	60 (87.0%)	0.745
PCNL			
Age	55.71±9.79	55.75±9.85	0.235
Gender	M 19 (52.8%)	M 29 (42.0%)	0.310
	F 17 (47.2%)	F 40 (58.0%)	
Number of	3.06±2.76	2.29±2.38	0.162
stones*			
Pre-op	24.19±6.15	38.70±19.72	<0.001
stone max			
diameter			
(mm)			
Pre-op	320.80±143.81	882.24±833.70	<0.001
stone size			
(mm ²)			

 Table 1 Background characteristics of patients

	Fr <22	Fr≥22	P value
Any complications	8 (22.2%)	20 (29.0%)	0.255
Post-op fever	4 (11.1%)	7 (10.1%)	0.745
Maximum post-op white	13.02±4.50	13.77±3.70	0.391
cell count (10^9/L)			
Haemoglobin drop (g/dL)	$0.94{\pm}0.64$	1.21 ± 0.89	0.127
Creatinine Change	6.67±13.03	6.56±13.27	0.969
(mmol/L)			
Post-op antibiotic	4.36±4.36	8.00 ± 13.07	0.108
duration (days)			
Length of stay (days)	4.43 ± 2.00	5.49±2.14	0.052
Need of Post-op JJ stent	22 (59.5%)	27 (40.3%)	0.068
Post-op JJ stent duration	56.23±20.55	50.19±25.9	0.367
(days)		0	
Need of Post-op PCN	36 (100%)	60 (96.8%)	0.53
Post-op PCN duration	3.6±2.6	7.4±9.5	0.02
(days)			
Any Residual stone	10 (27.8%)	32 (46.4%)	0.093
Number of residual stone	0.33±0.63	0.74 ± 0.95	0.09
Additional stone treatment	23 (63.9%)	34 (50%)	0.409
required	```		
Number of additional	0.64±0.96	0.93±1.26	0.41
treatment performed			
Table ? Parianarativa autao	mos and safet	w profilos	

Results

There was no significant difference in patient demographics, percentage of first-time PCNL and stone count. However, the pre-operative stone maximum diameter and size were smaller in Group 1 (24.2 ± 6.2 mm vs. 38.7 ± 19.7 mm, p<0.001; 320.8 ± 143.8 mm² vs. 882.2 ± 833.7 mm², p<0.001).

There was no significant difference in terms of stone-free rate, hospitalization time, operation time, and complications including haemoglobin drop, rates of transfusion, postoperative fever, sepsis, prolonged antibiotic use, and renal impairment. The presence of residual stone \geq 4mm and the number of additional treatments required were comparable (0.33±0.63 vs.0.74±0.95, p=0.09; 0.64±0.96 vs. 0.93±1.26, p=0.41). The postoperative PCN duration was shorter in Group 1 (3.6±2.6 vs. 7.4±9.5 days, p=0.02) while the JJ stent duration was comparable (56.2±20.6vs. 50.2±25.9 days, p=0.37).

Conclusion

Mini PCNL is an effective and safe alternative to standard PCNL.

http://www.hkua.org/