Report of the External Audit Committee on Administrative and Academic Audit



E.G.S. Pillay Engineering College

An Autonomous Institution Affiliated to Anna University, Chennai | Approved by AICTE, New Delhi Accredited by NAAC with A++ Grade | Accredited by NBA T1

Old Nagore Road, Thethi, Nagore Village, Nagapattinam – 611002, Tamil Nadu, India

EGSPEC INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL -EIQAC

Even Semester 2024-2025

Report of the External Audit Committee on Administrative
and Academic Audit

Academic Year:

2024-25

Semester: Even

Department: Information Technology

Date of Visit: 07-02-2025

IQAC

Name of the Auditor with Designation : Prof. O.G. Kakde, Professor of Computer Science & Engineering at VNIT, Nagpur.

Adm	Administration				
S.N.	Parameters Compliances		Observations	Recommendations	
1.					
	a.	Formation of Statutory and non statutory bodies/committees, their terms of reference, meetings held, minutes of meetings and action taken reports both at Institute level and department level.	Yes, Governing Body, Academic Council are constituted at Institute level. BoS at department level. Meetings are held on regular basis. Minutes of meetings and Action taken reports are available. Other committees are also constituted.		
	b.	Defining Academic regulations	Yes, Total 6 regulations are defined till date.	. 1	
•)	C.	Grievance redressal mechanism	Yes, but available only for students.	Similar mechanism needs to be put in place for faculty & staff rather than dealing with their grievances in an adhoc manner.	

Trande of on 25

2.	Stude				
	a.	Entre cell.	nation of T&P Cell & . epreneurship Development	Yes, both T&P and ED cell are formed.	
	b.	Defining internship & placement policy		Documented as placement Rules & Regulations.	
	C.	Form Cell.	nation of R&D and innovation	Yes, R&D cell is formed.	
	d.	Defining IPR policy of the Institute		Yes, defined as a part of R&D policy.	
	e.	R&D	budget	Yes.	
3.	HR po	licy			
	a.	Recr	uitment & promotion rules	Documented	
	b.		duct and Disciplinary rules	Not documented	Recommended to get it documented
	c.	Scheme of incentives(if any)		Exists as a separate circular	
Aca	demic	S			
1.			ation of OBE		
	a.		ess of Vision, Mission, PEOs,	Yes, documented.	
			PSOs development	res, accumented.	
	a,	-	iculum development process	Yes, documented.	
			ng into account PO and PSOs,	1 co, documented.	
			defining COs		
	b.	Corrective actions taken for non-		Yes, documented.	
		attainment of POs/PSOs			
	C.	Assessment pattern(in sem & end sem)			
		i)	Weightages assigned	Theory(40% for In-sem and 60% for End sem) Practical((50% for In- sem and 50% for End sem)	
	*	ii)	Question paper setting in line with Cos and calculation of attainment of COs	Questions are mapped to Cos for both In-sem and End sem examinations. Attainment calculations are done using marks for	
		1-25	*	both examinations.	
		iii)	Transparency in assessment	Yes, Valued answer books of In-sem examinations are shown to the students.	Recommended to show the valued answer books of End sem examination also.
		iv)	Grading pattern	Claimed Relative grading, but no	Recommended to have a system in place for setting

Tearde 125

		711643	or racuity competencies	Programming	
	e.	Areas	of faculty competencies	Adjunct faculty is appointed.	
				professionals to take classes(one full course).	
	d.	Visiting/Adjunct/Emeritus Faculty		Engaging Industry	*
				90% faculty is retained.	14
	C.	Reten		Very good, more than	1/4
	b.	Cadre Proportion		1:3:11	
	a.		f Teaching Staff	15	
3.	Facul		culty development initiatives	incubation facility.	
	1	Pre-incubation and incubation facilities		AICTE Idea lab is set up.	Recommended to set up
	f.			No.	
	E E		act development laboratory	3 patents.	
	d.	at nat	tional/international level f IPRs filed/awarded	2-1-1	
		converted into projects/technologies recognized			
	С	No. of ideas/innovations		Nil.	
				converted to patents.	
		students and faculty		2faculty projects are	
	b.	No. of ideas/innovations by the		IPR. 1 student's idea and	
	а.	Courses on innovations & IPR		Introduced a course on	
2.	Idea		tion and innovations	stribuology.	
				assignments.	through different methodology.
				focus group assignments.	that students will learn
				pedagogy but with more	implement as pedagogy, so
		iii)	Cooperative learning	Implemented not as a	Recommended to
I I				problems.	through different methodology.
				pedagogy but with more focus on solving more	implement as pedagogy, so that students will learn
		ii)	Problem based learning	Implemented not as a	methodology. Recommended to
				focus on projects.	implement as pedagogy, so that students will learn through different
		"	Project based learning	Implemented not as a pedagogy but with more	Recommended to
-	d.	innovations in teaching learning i) Project based learning			
				Projects, coding.	
		v) -	Nature of assignment given	Problem solving.	
				different courses based on difficulty level.	
				set different cutoffs for	
				mechanism in place to	different cutoffs.

- Warde

	f.	Training need analysis No. of FDPS/conferences/workshops	Paradigms, Software Development, Communication Technology. Not done 3	Recommended to do TNA.
	h.	organized		
	11.	No. of faculty members attended FDPS/conferences/workshops	15	
		Outreach activities	Some, like school presentations	Recommended for proactive participation in outreach
1.	Acad	demic & sponsored research	·	activities.
	a.	No. of Ph.D scholars registered	11	
	b.	No. of Ph.D supervisors	11	
	c.	No. of proposals submitted to various funding agencies	2 03(major)+01(minor)	
	d.	No. of projects received funding	01(minor)	More efforts are needed to
	e.	No. of publications	35	be put in.
	f.	Post Doctoral Fellowships & research Associates	Nil.	

SIGNATURE OF AUDITOR